



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-30353-2025
Date of decision: 12.02.2026

ARVINDER SINGHPetitioner(s)
VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate with
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Thind, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nimanyu Gautam, Advocate
for the respondent/complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of pre-arrest bail in case bearing FIR No 54 dated 21.04.2025 registered under Sections 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sujanpur, District Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that pursuant to the orders dated 04.09.2025 and 27.11.2025 respectively passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation and he is no longer required for investigation of the case.

Learned State counsel on instruction from Inspector Mohit Kumar corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim orders dated 04.09.2025 and 27.11.2025 respectively are made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

FEBRUARY 12, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No