



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(227)

CRM-M-29859-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-03.07.2026

AJAY @ MOGLI AND OTHERS**... Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Meena Choudhary, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of FIR No.110 dated 10.05.2025 registered under Sections 318(4) and 61(2) of BNS (420 and 120B of IPC) at Police Station Cyber Crime, Ballabgarh, Faridabad and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 25.05.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned District and Sessions Judge, Faridabad, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the



parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned District and Sessions Judge, Faridabad, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua petitioners, are hereby quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

03.07.2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether reasoned / speaking?</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>