



CRM-M-30500-2026

-1-

**139 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30500-2026

Date of Decision: 26.05.2026

Asif Fazlani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rahul Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned order dated 07.11.2025, passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ambala in SC-70-2020 titled as DCO vs. Harpreet Singh etc. dated 27.03.2020 under Section 21 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940, whereby the bail granted to the petitioner has been cancelled and bail/surety bonds have been forfeited to the State.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was granted bail by learned trial Court vide order dated 30.11.2024. He contends that on 07.11.2025, the petitioner could not appear before the Court, due to which his bail was cancelled and his bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants. He submits that absence of the petitioner was totally unintentional and due to the circumstances beyond his control. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court and abide by all the terms and conditions, if any imposed by this Court.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State and has submitted that the petitioner intentionally not appeared before the trial Court and thus, learned trial Court has rightly cancelled his bail.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is evident that due to non-appearance of the petitioner, bail of the petitioner was cancelled and his bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and warrants of arrest have been issued vide order dated 07.11.2025. The Court without going into the authenticity of the ground taken for the absence of the petitioner, deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to appear before the Court concerned and to face the trial in the present case. In these circumstances, when the petitioner is ready to join the trial and face the proceedings, the order dated 07.11.2025, is set aside, subject to deposit of costs of Rs.25,000/- with the **Indian Red Cross Society, UT Branch, Sector-11, Chandigarh** by the petitioner within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of **two weeks** from the date of receipt of copy of this order and file an appropriate application alongwith receipt of costs of Rs.25,000/- and the trial Court would grant him bail till the disposal of the case on his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds. The petitioner will have protection from arrest for a period of **two weeks** from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The trial Court is free to impose any condition it likes on the petitioner while admitting him to bail.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with



the abovesaid direction, this order would be of no avail to him and the order dated 07.11.2025 will come in force and the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

8. Petition stands disposed of in abovesaid terms.

26.05.2026
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE