



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-16352-2026**

**Date of decision : 22.05.2026**

**Vanshaj Saini**

**...Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State Election Commission, Haryana  
and others**

**...Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Rhythm Katyal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the election of the private respondent No.4 was based upon the fraud, which factual position has been brought to the notice of the State already, but no action is being taken which is causing prejudice as, he is not eligible to continue to be the counsellor of the Municipal Corporation, Rohtak. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appropriate direction be given to the respondents.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Ms. Anu Pal, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and submits that in case, any representation has been made by the petitioner already on the issue concerned and is still pending consideration with respondent No.1-State Election Commission, Haryana, then appropriate decision on the same will be taken in accordance with law by

giving due opportunity to the respondents to be heard and such proceedings will be culminated within a period of six months.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)  
JUDGE

22.05.2026  
vanita

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes |
| Whether Reportable :        | No  |