



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6081-2026

Date of decision : 21.05.2026

Pariynka and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Yuvraj Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners (live-in-relationship) which is under imminent threats at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12. Further prayer has been made for directing the official respondent No.2, to expeditiously decide the representation dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) submitted to respondent No.2 by the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of private respondents and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka, Punjab.



3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka, Punjab to decide the representation dated dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.
6. Disposed of.

21.05.2026
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No