



**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17815-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.05.2026**

Vandana Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.8 to investigate the cause of custodial death of her husband.

2. Learned State counsel submits that judicial inquiry with respect to alleged incident was conducted and it was found that petitioner's husband died due to cardiac arrest. No official was found guilty.

3. The matter has been investigated by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class as death took place in judicial custody due to cardiac arrest.

4. Learned JMFC has considered opinion of Doctors of the Hospital where deceased was admitted before his death. It is not a case of

death in the police custody whereas it is a case where deceased was in judicial custody and died in the hospital due to cardiac arrest. Learned JMIC has specifically recorded that there is no foul play on the part of police officials and deceased was a drug addict.

5. In the backdrop, no further order is warranted.
6. ***Disposed of.***
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

06.05.2026

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No