



CWP-17042-2025 (O&M) and 20 connected cases

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(135-1+346-1)

**CWP-17042-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 18.03.2026**

Hemant Kumar Mittal

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-2)

CWP-17069-2025

Keshav Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-3)

CWP-17071-2025

Anil Kumar Nage

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-4)

CWP-17072-2025

Shakuntla Dhingra

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-5)

CWP-17074-2025

Tribhuwan Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(135-2+346-6)

CWP-17081-2025 (O&M)

Sorabh Kumar Upadhyay

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents



CWP-17042-2025 (O&M) and 20 connected cases

(346-7)

Rahul Gupta

CWP-18162-2025

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-8)

Akanksha Goel

CWP-18159-2025

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-9)

Bharat Sharma

CWP-129-2026

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-10)

Rajat Nagpal

CWP-131-2026

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-11)

Shweta Mehra

CWP-132-2026

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-12)

Mohit Rana

CWP-133-2026

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents



CWP-17042-2025 (O&M) and 20 connected cases

(346-13)

CWP-380-2026

Himanshu Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-14)

CWP-381-2026

Sudesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-15)

CWP-382-2026

Veena Aggarwal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-16)

CWP-384-2026

Parnav Aggarwal

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-17)

CWP-2028-2026

Gopichandra Sinha

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(346-18)

CWP-2029-2026

Manoj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents



CWP-17042-2025 (O&M) and 20 connected cases

(381-1)

CWP-4001-2026

Dinesh Kataria

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(381-2)

CWP-4002-2026

Bhupender Chaudhary

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(383)

CWP-4839-2026

Geeta Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present:- Mr. Aadil Singh Boparai, Advocate,
Mr. Gurlabh S. Bhaika Sidhu, Advocate and
Mr. Ankit Jangra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in all the cases (except in CWP-4002-2026).

None for the petitioner in CWP-4002-2026.

Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
for the respondent(s)-State in all the cases.

Ms. Ashna Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent(s)-HSVP in all the cases.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-12969-CWP-2025 in CWP-17042-2025

1. Application is allowed, as prayed for.
2. Annexure P-15 is taken on record.



CWP-17042-2025 (O&M) and 20 connected cases

CM-12970-CWP-2025 in CWP-17081-2025

3. In the light of the order being passed today, counsel for the applicant-petitioner states that the miscellaneous application has become infructuous.

4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

CWP-17042-2025 and 20 connected cases

5. This order shall dispose of all the above noted writ petitions as they involve a similar dispute. For the sake of convenience, facts are being taken from CWP-17042-2025.

6. This petition has been filed, *inter-alia*, for issuance of a writ, in the nature of *mandamus*, for direction to respondents to execute the conveyance deed in favour of petitioner pertaining to Plot No.85, Sector 80, Urban Estate, Faridabad, as well as to handover the vacant possession of the said property, which was allotted to petitioner vide letter dated 11.11.2024, Annexure P-3.

7. Counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the writ petition, petitioner has received a communication, dated 22.08.2025, Annexure P-15, from the office of Chief Administrator, HSVP, whereby the Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad, has been directed to handover the possession of the site to petitioner, immediately. He has also made a reference to order dated 19.02.2026 passed by this Court. Counsel asserts that acquisition of the land in favour of HSVP has been upheld by this Court vide judgment, dated 16.05.2019, passed in CWP-8346-2009, against which SLP has been rejected by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 18.11.2019.



CWP-17042-2025 (O&M) and 20 connected cases

8. *Per contra*, counsel for respondents have opposed the prayer by stating that the possession cannot be handed over, because of the pendency of CWP-8525-2009, wherein by order dated 29.05.2009, this Court has directed that the status quo be maintained with regard to possession. He has instructions to state that the said writ petition is pending before this Court on 07.05.2026 and its hearing is being expedited on request made by the State.

9. State counsel has requested for deferment of the proceedings, which is rejected. No useful purpose would be served in keeping these petitions pending, merely because there is a status quo order in a connected petition, which is pending for the last more than 16 years.

10. Be that as it may, since clear cut instructions have been issued by the Chief Administrator, writ petitions are **disposed of** with a direction to the respondents to handover the vacant possession of the plot to petitioner(s) within a period of four months from today, unless there is any legal impediment in doing so.

11. As the main cases have been decided, all pending applications are disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

18.03.2026
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No