



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

140

CWP-16366-2026

Date of Decision: 27.05.2026

Ravita**....Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Tada, Advocate and
Mr. Kapil Saharan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner submits that a unit was allotted to petitioner under the Affordable Housing Policy, which has been cancelled by respondent No.3 vide order dated 23.03.2026, Annexure P-4 and petitioner has filed a complaint under Section 31 of Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, Annexure P-6, which has been listed for 07.08.2026. Counsel states that an application, Annexure P-8, has been moved for preponement but it has also not been heard. Petitioner has expressed an apprehension that, even before the complaint is heard, private respondent may create third party rights in the allotted unit, which will defeat the claim of petitioner. A request has been made by him for directing Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority (HRERA) to decide the complaint expeditiously.



CWP-16366-2026

-2-

2. This Court finds merit in the submission made by counsel for the petitioner. It is evident from the pleadings that petitioner has filed a complaint, Annexure P-6 and its first hearing is to take place in August, 2026. Application for preponement filed by the petitioner is also pending.

3. In the light of the above, in case petitioner moves an application for interim relief within a fortnight from today, HRERA is requested to hear and decide it within a period of one month of its institution.

4. With the above direction, writ petition is disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2026
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No