



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6020-2026

Date of decision : 20.05.2026

Eeram and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Ankush Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life, liberty and dignity of the petitioners and family members of petitioner No.2 at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.1 to 3 not to take any action at the instance/complaint of respondents No.4 to 6.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-5. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-6) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Panipat for the redressal of their claim and the same has not yet been decided.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Panipat, District Panipat, Haryana to decide the representation dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

20.05.2026

m. sharma

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No