



CWP-16138-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(207)

CWP-16138-2025

Date of Decision : 03.02.2026

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Nitin Goswami, Advocate for
Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Sumanjit Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Matvinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order dated 25.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), as passed by respondent No.2, wherethrough, the petitioner has been directed to vacate the first floor of H.No.2193, Sector-44/C, Chandigarh, under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (for short the 'Act of 2007').

2. The order impugned can be assailed by filing a statutory appeal, which has not been filed rather, the instant writ petition has been filed. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to make out any ground in assailing the order (supra), by filing instant writ petition instead of availing the statutory remedy of appeal.



CWP-16138-2025

3. In view of the above, the petitioner is **relegated** and granted an opportunity to challenge the order (supra), by filing statutory appeal.
4. In case, the petitioner files statutory appeal with learned Appellate Authority concerned, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, with an application for condonation of delay, the latter shall consider the fact that the petitioner has maintained misconceived motion before this Court, and thereafter, decide the application for condonation of delay, most sympathetically.
5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 03, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No