



123 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16148 of 2026

Date of Decision : 21.05.2026

DEEPAK KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Goldy Jhakar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed against the erroneous rejection of the nomination paper of the petitioner and to direct the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to decide the representation dated 19.05.2026 (Annexure P-2) so as to allow him to contest for the election Municipal Council Jandiala Guru from Ward No.12 (reserved for Backward Class) District, Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representation for the redressal of the said grievance has already been made on 19.05.2026 and the petitioner would be satisfied in case a time-bound direction be given to the respondents to decide the same.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 19.05.2026 (Annexure P-2) has been received in the office of the Deputy

Commissioner, Amritsar and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, appropriate order will be passed by the competent authority within a period of **7 days** from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State, no further grievance survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

21-05-2026
Sapna Goyal

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/ reasoned: YES
Whether reportable: NO