203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:085515

CRM-M-29772-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.05.2026

HARDEV SINGH **... PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA **... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No.158 dated 20.04.2026, U/s 13 (2) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and added later on Section 11 of PAC Act registered at Police Station Yamuna Nagar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the basis of secret information, the petitioner along with the co-accused was apprehended while transporting two oxen loaded in a vehicle to Uttarakhand.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that on 20.04.2026, co-accused Madan Lal approached the petitioner at his taxi stand for transportation of two bulls from Banur to Uttarakhand and he had also a purchase letter issued by the Municipal Councillor, Banur, SAS Nagar, Mohali in that regard. He further submits that co-accused Madan Lal has already been granted bail by the learned trial Court vide order dated

JANKI BHATT
2026.05.29 17:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

26.05.2026 and the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 07 days and is not involved in any other case. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Dr. Malvika Singh, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. She further submits that as per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 07 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that co-accused has already been granted bail by the learned trial Court; the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 07 days; he is not involved in any other case; the conclusion of trial is likely to take some time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an

JANKI BHATT
2026.05.29 17:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

11. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(H.S.GREWAL)
29.05.2026 **JUDGE**
Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

JANKI BHATT
2026.05.29 17:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh