



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

**CRM-M-30595-2026
Decided on : 26.05.2026**

Mohan

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. S.P. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. PK Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023, *inter alia*, seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Police, Karnal, to take action on the complaint/representation dated 13.01.2026 (Annexure P-1), submitted by the petitioner for initiating proceedings against the Incharge, Special Detective Unit (SDU), Karnal – Inspector Parveen Kumar, and Investigating Officer – ASI Naresh Kumar, on the allegations of conducting a faulty investigation and negligently declaring certain accused persons as innocent.

2. At the very outset, this Court is of the considered view that no such direction deserves to be issued in exercise of powers under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, particularly when an alternative remedy is available to the petitioner, in case he is aggrieved by the final outcome of the investigation arising out of FIR No.122 dated 21.03.2024, registered under Sections 323, 452, 365 and 34 IPC [corresponding to Sections 115(2), 140(3), 333 and 3(5) of BNS, 2023], at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal.

Petitioner may have his own version regarding the manner in which investigation has been conducted and merely because the mother of the petitioner is the complainant in the aforesaid FIR, no such direction for initiation of action against the police officials concerned is warranted at this stage.



3. While dealing with such prayers, this Court remains conscious of the fact that even a simple direction of the nature sought herein, may adversely affect the rights and reputation of the persons against whom allegations are levelled, despite the possibility that such allegations may ultimately turn out to be unsubstantiated.

Even otherwise, merely because certain accused persons have been found innocent during investigation, no action against the Investigating Agency is called for, at this stage, particularly when the procedural law itself provides sufficient safeguards to the complainant/victim during trial proceedings, including the right to seek summoning of additional accused, if any incriminating evidence emerges during the course of trial.

4. In view of the aforementioned circumstances, this Court finds no ground to entertain the present petition for issuance of the directions prayed for.

Accordingly, present petition stands **dismissed**.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2026

J.Ram

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>