

2026:PHHC:085716



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M-29379-2026
Date of Decision: 29.05.2026**

BALWINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Ms. Ravinder Kaur, Advocate for Mr. Gurcharan Dass,
Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate for the Complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.87 dated 13.12.2025 registered under Sections 308(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 384 and 120-B of IPC) at Police Station Tallewal, District Barnala.

2. On 21.05.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is real brother-in-law of son of Balbir Kaur, who was married to the son of petitioner No.2 and the complaint was lodged by the brothers of Balbir Kaur with regard to the uploading alleged obscene video. The petitioner No.2 had infact spent a huge amount of Rs.52,00,000/- to send her Australia for study, however, when his son went to the said country, they got divorce there and with vengeance, the present FIR has been lodged so that the petitioners could not ask for refund of their money. There is no obscenity in the alleged video and they are not involved in any other criminal case and are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Atwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and prays for time to file response.

Adjourned to 29.05.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 25.05.2026. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/ Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Avtar Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 21.05.2026 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

MAY 29, 2026.

Rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No