



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(225)

CRM-M-29490-2026 (O & M)

Date of decision:02.07.2026

Gurjeet Singh Fauji @ Gurjit Singh

.... Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate,
with Ms. Bhoomika, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sumeet Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS (Section 439 Cr.P.C.) is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.87 dated 25.04.2025 under Sections 109, 126(2), 117(2), 115(2), 324(4), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 BNS (Sections 307, 341, 325, 323, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC) registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and he is alleged to have only caught hold of the injured. As he is in custody since 02.05.2026 but only 05 of the 57 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail, moreso, when all his co-accused have been granted the concession of either anticipatory bail or regular bail.

3. The learned counsel for the State and the counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, while referring to the State reply dated



::2::

24.06.2026 which is already on record, contend that the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner do not entitle him to the concession of bail. They, however, concede that the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2026, that only 05 of the 57 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far and that all his co-accused have been granted the concession of either anticipatory bail or regular bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2026 but only 05 of the 57 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required, moreso, when all his co-accused have been granted the concession of either anticipatory bail or regular bail.
6. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Gurjeet Singh Fauji @ Gurjit Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
7. This petition stands disposed of.
8. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

July 02, 2026
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No