

2026.PHHC:061446



260.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15642-2024

Date of decision: 22.04.2026

Shashi Bala

.... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Public Service Commission and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the public notice dated 04.07.2024 (Annexure P-11), issued by Punjab Public Services Commission to the extent of cancellation of the candidature of the petitioner thereby declaring her ineligible to appear for the written examination for the post of PCS (Executive Branch) on an erroneous and arbitrary ground that the application of the petitioner has not been received through proper channel despite two discrepancy notices dated 28.07.2023 and 28.08.2023. Further, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1-Punjab Public Services Commission to declare the petitioner eligible and to permit her to appear in the selection process for the post of PCS (Executive Branch).

2. On 11.07.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Notice of motion for 17.09.2024.

Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Addl. A.G. Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks some time to file reply.

In the interregnum, the petitioner will be allowed to appear in the written examination provisionally subject to the outcome of the present petition.

It is made clear that merely by appearing in the written examination, no right will be conferred upon the petitioner to claim appointment to the post in question in case, the present petition is ultimately dismissed.

Result of the petitioner be kept in sealed cover till there is any specific order by this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has failed in the written examination and, therefore, nothing further survives in the present petition.

3. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No