

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

2026 PHHC 074574



CRM-M-30697-2025 (O&M).

Date of decision: 12.05.2026.

SHAMINDER SINGH ALIAS MINTU

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT Mr. Manav Prateek Sharma, Advocate,
(Through Video Conference)
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 by this Court vide order dated 24.02.2022 passed in CRM-M-49844 of 2021 titled as 'Pushpinder Singh vs. State of Punjab' in FIR bearing No.33 dated 14.02.2021, under Sections 323, 341, 427, 506, 295-A, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Khanna City-2, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana, the instant petition has been filed.

State counsel contends that during the investigation, the respondent-accused was found innocent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner herein had filed an objection petition against the cancellation report. The trial Court did not accept the cancellation report and had, in fact, summoned respondent No.2 for facing trial for offences under Sections 323, 341, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, vide order dated 07.02.2025.

There is no material available on record on the basis whereof, the prayer of the petitioner seeking cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 may be accepted, at this juncture. The ground seeking cancellation of bail is that order granting bail has been passed without noticing that police officials had misconducted the investigation and had filed cancellation report wrongly against the private respondent.

Having heard the counsel for the petitioner and going through the case file, I am of the opinion that the aforesaid argument is not a ground for cancellation of bail already granted to respondent no.2 vide order dated 24.02.2022. There is not even a single instance cited by the counsel for the petitioner on the basis whereof, the bail granted to respondent no.2 may be cancelled.

Finding no ground to cancel bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 24.02.2022, the present petition is dismissed at this stage.

May 12, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No