



CRM-M-30936-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-30936-2026
Decided on : 03.06.2026

Pankaj Kumar

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Chirag Dahiya, Advocate and
Mr. Akhil Maan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Pankaj Kumar, aged 28 years	340	07.08.2024	419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC (319(4), 318(4), 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of BNS)	Palam Vihar	Gurugram, Haryana

2. As per allegations, petitioner-Pankaj Kumar and his co-accused Amit had identified the owner of the property, who actually was a fake person and projecting herself to be real owner - Indira Khanna.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case and he is in custody since 08.01.2026. Further submits that co-accused Amit has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 22.08.2025 passed in CRM-M-44649-2025 (Annexure P-7). Thus, prays for grant of bail.



4. Learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer and submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner, confirms the facts including the role attributed to the petitioner and also the stage of trial. Thus, prays for dismissal of the petition.

5. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and has also perused the record available on file.

6. Considering the aforementioned facts, this Court deems it appropriate to extend the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

9. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

10. **Petition stands disposed of.**

June 03, 2026

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**