



CWP-16555-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16555-2025

Date of decision: 11.02.2026

SIDHESH MANOHAR DIVEKAR AND ANR PETITIONERS**Versus****HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FINANCE CORPORATION LIMITED
AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: - Mr. Nitish Massey, Advocate for the petitioners
(through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Sahil Khunger, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Vijay Pal, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondents No.3 and 4-UOI.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Ms. Isha Janjua, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Kalra, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who are essentially homebuyers, are aggrieved by the actions of the builder in respect of a project situated at Mumbai, which could not be completed under a subvention scheme.

2. Moreover, Petitioner No. 1 had filed Complaint No. CC006000000196861 before the Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Mumbai, which was partly allowed by a final order dated

CWP-16555-2025

2

12.06.2024. Against the said order, Petitioner No. 1 has approached the Appellate Forum at Mumbai, which is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner and also that the same is pending consideration.

3. Since the cause of action predominantly does not lie within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court, and also that the project in question, out of which the grievance arises, is situated in Mumbai, this Court declines interference in the present case for want of territorial jurisdiction.

4. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed with liberty to approach the appropriate forum.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

11.02.2026
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |