



CRWP-5948-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

CRWP-5948-2026

Decided on: 19.05.2026

Priti and another**.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Harmanbir S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, who claim to have married against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 8, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. It is submitted that the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty and, therefore, seek protection from the official respondents.

3. It is noticed that petitioner No.1-Priti Kumari, and respondent Nos. 4 to 8, who are stated to be her family members, are primarily residents of District Dhanbad, Jharkhand. Petitioner No.2, Rahul, is stated to be a resident of Rudrapur, Ratibhanpr, Manipur, Uttar Pradesh.

**CRWP-5948-2026****2**

4. From the pleadings and material placed on record, it prima facie appears that the alleged cause of action, if any, has arisen outside the territorial jurisdiction of this Court. No part of the cause of action has been shown to have arisen within the territorial limits of this Court so as to confer jurisdiction under Article 226(2) of the Constitution of India.

5. In view of the above, this Court is of the considered opinion that the present petition is not maintainable before this Court for want of territorial jurisdiction.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not maintainable, leaving it open to the petitioners to approach the competent Court having jurisdiction in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 19, 2026
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**