



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

COCP-2865-2025

Date of decision: 12.01.2026

M/s Uday Construction

...Petitioner

V/s

Gagandeep Singh Sandhu

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Toor, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition preferred under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 alleges violation of order dated 26.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-9440-2024, vide which following directions had been issued:-

“Accordingly, with the consent of the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.5-Executive Engineer, Punjab Water Supply and Sanitary Division No.2, Ludhiana (Punjab), is directed to take note of the legal notice dated 16.10.2023 (Annexure P-3) sent by the petitioner and to pass a reasoned and speaking order thereupon in accordance with law preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to mention that in the event the entitlement of the petitioner being established as per law, the payment due to the petitioner shall be released expeditiously and preferably within a further period of three months.”

2. Short reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Gagandeep Singh Sandhu, Executive Engineer, Department of Water Supply and Sanitation, Division No.2, Ludhaina on behalf of the respondent has been filed in Court today, which has been taken on record. As per the same, total payment of Rs.3,09,158/- was due to the petitioner which stands paid. It has been further averred that interest is not payable as there was no such clause in the agreement;

“4. That it is submitted here that the as per the record of the department of answering deponent, total payment of Rs.3,09,158/- was due to the petitioner for the work done and same has been paid to the petitioner so far as payment of interest as claimed by the petitioner is concerned, the same is not payable to him as there was no clause of such payment in the agreement, hence. Presently, no payment is outstanding towards the petitioner by the respondent, as shown in table below with Annexure R-1.”

3. That being so, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

4. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of. Rule stands discharged. However, the petitioner may, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law, if he is still aggrieved of the decision of the respondent.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

January 12, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No