



CR-4319-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CR-4319-2026

Date of Decision: - 22.05.2026

Rakesh Sharma**....Appellant****Versus****Rajiv Sharma and another****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ameesha Goel, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order 21.02.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ambala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the suit had been finally disposed of vide award dated 13.05.2023 (Annexure P-10) but the petitioner inadvertently had filed an application only with respect to the plaint without specifically moving an application for amendment/correction of the award also. It is submitted that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to file a fresh application after giving full and better



CR-4319-2026

-2-

particulars with a specific prayer for amendment/correction in the plaint as well as in the award. It is submitted that in case such an application is filed, the trial Court be requested to decide the same independent of the observations made in the impugned order.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that in case any such application is filed by the petitioners, then, liberty be also granted to the respondents to raise all the pleas which are available to them in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to file an appropriate application seeking amendment/correction in the plaint as well as in the award dated 13.05.2023 and in any case any such application is filed, then, it would be open to both the parties to raise all pleas and the concerned Court would consider the said application after hearing both the parties, dehors the observations made in the impugned order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of any such application which the petitioner proposes to file and in case any such application is filed by him, the same would be considered by the concerned Court independently, in accordance with law.

May 22, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No