



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132)

CR-3882-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.02.2026

Suraj Bhan

...Petitioner

V/s

M/s Chatru Marketing Pvt. Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Brejeshwar Vashist, Advocate, for respondents No.1 and 2

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The present revision petition, preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, assails the order dated 09.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Panipat, vide which the application filed by the petitioner-plaintiff for the appointment of a local commissioner was dismissed.

2. Concededly, both sides have submitted their own local commissioner reports in their evidence. Under the circumstances, there would be no occasion to order the appointment of another local commissioner, at this stage. However, if the Court feels the necessity to appoint another local commissioner as the categoric case of the petitioner is that the report submitted by the petitioner talks about the land of the petitioner and the report submitted by the respondent talks about the land of the respondent, it may appoint another local commissioner for demarcation of the entire land, in accordance with law and in accordance with the applicable Rules and Orders of the High Court.

The revision petition is accordingly disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

February 09, 2026
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No