



340

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-478-2006 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.02.2026

Rajiv Deswal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 12.01.2006, operation of impugned order dated 27.12.2005 (Annexure P-11) was stayed. The petitioner has retired on attaining the age of superannuation. He was made Member of Indian Police Service.
2. *Disposed of.*
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.02.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No