



CWP-19070-2021

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(259)

CWP-19070-2021

Date of Decision : 25.03.2026

St. Xaviers School, Junior Wing, Bathinda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. A.S. Talwar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate  
for respondents No.5 to 15.

\*\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)**

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order dated 19.08.2021 (Annexure P-23), as passed by the District Level Fee Regulatory Body, Bathinda (respondent No.3), where through, the fee enhancement of LKG Class, by the petitioner-School, beyond 8% for the academic session 2021-22, is held to be illegal.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner-School, at the very outset apprises this Court that the order impugned, has already been effected, for the academic session 2021-22, which fact is not disputed by learned counsel representing the private respondents No.5 to 15.



CWP-19070-2021

2

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the next academic session, the District Level Fee Regulatory Body, has passed a fresh order dated 20.09.2022, and now the same is being followed, in its letter and spirit, by the petitioner-School, which was also accepted by the parents of wards studying in the said academic session. A copy of the decision dated 20.09.2022, is taken on record.

4. At this stage, learned senior counsel for the petitioner-School, submits that he does not wish to press the instant writ petition, subject to the condition that the impugned order dated 19.08.2021 (Annexure P-23), as passed by the District Level Fee Regulatory Body, Bathinda (respondent No.3), may not be treated as precedent, in future for all purposes.

5. Learned counsel representing the private respondents No.5 to 15, raises no objection to the prayer, as made by learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed being not pressed.**

7. However, it is clarified that the order impugned through the instant writ petition, will not create any precedent value.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

March 25, 2026  
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No