

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.080188



117

CRWP-5959-2026

Date of decision: 21.5.2026

Date of uploading: 21.5.2026

Mampi Mahato and another

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta and Mr. Manish Kansra, Advocates
for the petitioners.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. By way of present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.4.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents No.1 to 3.
4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 13.5.2026 against the wishes of respondent No.4, who is the father of petitioner No.1.

6. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner No.1-Mampi Mahato is 14.2.2002 and petitioner No.2-Jagdeep Singh is 30.09.1995.
7. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran) to look into the representation dated 14.5.2026 (Annexure P-5) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of private respondents to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.
9. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.
10. The petition stands disposed off. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

21.05.2026
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No