



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-15968-2026

Date of decision : 21.05.2026

Krishan Dev Thakur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner, who was appointed against a sanctioned post of Speech Therapist in Government Medical College, Amritsar (E.N.T. Department) through a proper selection process pursuant to advertisement and had been continuously serving without any break for more than 10 years, along with all consequential benefits. Further, to grant the petitioner pay scale equivalent to similarly situated regular employees performing identical duties along with all consequential monetary benefits including arrears, increments and revision of pay and not to terminate his services and replace him by another set of contractual/ad hoc employees during the pendency of the instant petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by a judgment of this Court in ***CWP-11427-2015 titled as 'Sukhjad Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another' decided on 19.12.2018*** (Annexure P-11) which has been implemented, vide order dated 23.07.2025 (Annexure P-12). He further submits that for redressal of his grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure P-9) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that



CWP-15968-2026

-2-

at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petitioner may be given liberty to supplement the abovesaid representation and appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to supplement the representation dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure P-9) within a period of two weeks from today and respondent No.3/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the said representation expeditiously by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

21.05.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No