



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-29689-2026
Decided on : 27.05.2026

Sid Bahadur

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Ranjeet Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant second petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sid Bahadur, aged 32 years	176	26.02.2023	328, 381, 325, 201 and 34 IPC (Sections 123, 306, 117(2), 249, 3(5) BNS)	Sector-8	Faridabad

2. First bail petition of the petitioner i.e. CRM-M-47999-2023 was dismissed vide order dated 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) by observing that petitioner is involved in one more case and custody period is only five months and no witness had been examined till that time.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now the total incarceration period is about 02 years and 10 months and material witnesses i.e. complainant and victim have already been examined in the witness box before the Court and also that in another case under the Arms Act, petitioner has already been acquitted. He further submits that there are total 26 prosecution witnesses and



material witnesses have since been examined. Thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel confirms the factum of examination of witnesses and that the petitioner has already remained in custody for the last period of 02 years and 10 months.

5. Considering all the circumstances, as discussed here above, including the total incarceration period, this Court deems it appropriate to extend the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

6. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 27, 2026

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*