



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

168

CR No.3778 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:06.05.2026

NIRMAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

JAGIR SINGH

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikkrant K. Vij, Advocate for
Ms. G.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. The present revision has been filed at the instance of the petitioner
with the following prayer:-

“Therefore it is respectfully prayed that the impugned order dated 03.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by the court of Learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Amritsar whereby the application preferred by the petitioner under Section 151 C.P.C for restraining the respondent from making any construction, destruction, alteration or changing the nature of the suit property in any manner has been erroneously dismissed on surmises and conjunctures; may kindly be set aside and the application filed by the petitioner (Annexure P-5) under Section 151 C.P.C may kindly be allowed and consequently respondent be restrained from making any construction, destruction, alteration or changing the nature of the suit property in any manner in the interest of equity, justice and fair play.

It is further prayed that during the pendency of the present petition respondent be restrained from making any construction, destruction,



alteration or changing the nature of the suit property in any manner in the interest of equity, justice and fair play.”

[2]. On 09.07.2024, this Court passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the suit for possession by way of partition and permanent injunction filed at the instance of petitioner-plaintiff is pending adjudication before the trial Court for 25.07.2024, however, despite the defendant-respondent having been served through his son, he chose not to appear before the trial Court just with an intent to avoid the proceedings and raise construction over the portion jointly possessed by the parties so as to cause prejudice to the rights of the petitioner-plaintiff.

Notice of motion for 31.07.2024.

Notice dasti as well.

In the meanwhile, status quo with regard to the property in question shall be maintained till the next date of hearing.”

[3]. Today, upon appearance learned counsel for the respondent informs that the application filed at the instance of the petitioner under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC stands adjudicated upon (dismissed) by the learned Trial Court vide order dated 30.03.2026.

[4]. In view of aforesaid, the present petition having served its purpose is disposed of being rendered infructuous.

[5]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

May 06, 2026
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No