



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5882-2026 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 18.05.2026**

Tinakshi Jaggi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Prabhpreet Singh, Advocate and  
Mr. Sarbjeet Chahal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.D.S. Malwai, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J.(Oral)**

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondent(s) to take an appropriate action against private respondent(s), who are harassing and threatening the petitioners by interfering in their peaceful life and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners are major and they have solemnized marriage with each other, but they apprehend threat to their life and liberty from the private respondents. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot seeking police protection, however, it went in vain. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr.D.S. Malwai, Addl.A.G., Punjab appears and accepted notice on behalf of respondent/State.
5. In this regard, this Court has already given the directions in *Asha and another vs. State of Haryana and others, CWP No.6717 of 2009 (2012 SCC Online P&H 12746)*, and in compliance of the same, the State Government had taken steps to protect the life and liberty of run away couple.
6. Apart from that the **State of Punjab vide notification dated 23.01.2025** also notified the SOPs for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners in similar situations, in compliance of the *Criminal Writ Petition No.12562 of 2023* titled as “*Kajal Vs. State of Haryana and others*”.
7. In the light of the above directions, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2–Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, to consider and take necessary action on the representation dated 18.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law.

18.05.2026  
Harish Kumar

(SUBHAS MEHLA)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |