



CRM-M-28910-2026 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

**CRM-M-28910-2026 (O&M)
Decided on: 20.05.2026**

JEET SINGH @ JEET SINGH PARDHAN AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Ms. Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.64 dated 18.04.2026 under Sections 115(2), 127(2), 190 and 191(3) of Bharatiya Nayaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') (Section 140(3) of BNS, 2023 added later on), registered at Police Station City-2 Abohar, District Fazilka.

2. Allegations levelled as per present FIR are that the accused-petitioners abducted the complainant and inflicted injuries upon him with iron rod and wooden plank, on account of his relationship with one lady named Gurpreet Kaur.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the matter has been compromised with the complainant; a petition seeking quashing



of present FIR along with consequential proceedings on the basis of said compromise has already been filed before this Court whereby parties have been directed to get their statements recorded before the trial Court vide order dated 18.05.2026 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-27986-2026 which is pending for hearing on 02.07.2026. It is further contended that on merits also, the injuries inflicted on the complainant are simple in nature and as such, the alleged offences in the present case, are triable by the Magistrate; the petitioners are not involved in any other case except the present FIR; the petitioners are ready to join investigation, as and when required and to cooperate with the same and as such, prayed for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to them.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Shiwani, Advocate has filed *Vakalatnama* on behalf of complainant in Court today which is taken on record. She has admitted the factum of compromise and stated that she has no objection in case the petitioners are granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab put in appearance and accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposed the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner while submitting that the petitioners kidnapped the complainant and inflicted injuries upon him and as such prayed for dismissal of grant of discretionary relief to the petitioners.



7. Heard.

8. Taking into consideration the rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties and the fact that matter has been amicably settled between the parties; a petition bearing CRM-M-27986-2026, seeking quashing of present FIR along with consequential proceedings on the basis of said compromise is already pending adjudication before this Court; the petitioners are having clean & clear antecedents and are not involved in any other case except the present FIR, taking into consideration the totality of facts & circumstances of the present case, this Court finds merit in the present petition and the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to the petitioners to join investigation, as and when required by the Investigating Officer & will cooperate in the investigation. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of investigating/arresting Officer subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS and subsequently, the petitioners are directed to abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

20.05.2026
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO