



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

175

CRWP-5854-2026
DECIDED ON: 18.05.2026

NIKITA PUN AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. By filing present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that **they are living in a live-in relationship** and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondent Nos.4 & 5.

PARTICULARS OF PETITIONERS:

Petitioner No.	Name & parantage	DoB or Age
1.	Nikita Pun d/o Shyam Bahadur	21 years
2.	Harman son of Naresh Kumar	19 years 11 months

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 2, Harman, though stated to be over 19 years of age, is alleged to be a minor, and that both the petitioners are residing together in a live-in relationship against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 16.05.2026 (Annexure P-3), to respondent No. 2, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.



4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3-State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to look into the representation dated 16.05.2026 (Annexure P-3), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

18.05.2026

mahima

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>