

CRM-M-28798 of 2026(O&amp;M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:084992



221

CRM-M-28798 of 2026(O&amp;M)

Reserved on: 26.05.2026

Pronounced on:29.05.2026

Uploaded on:29.05.2026

Sandeep Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

**Present:** Ms. Shreya Bublan, Advocate and  
Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG Punjab.

**SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.**

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in case vide FIR No.22 dated 16.01.2026, under Sections 75(2), 351(3), 79 BNS, Police Station Division No.7, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana. Section 66 of IT Act added lateron. This is the first petition for regular bail.

2. Prosecutrix stated that she received a call on her mobile phone from one Sandeep Singh who demanded sexual favours by threatening to make her obscene videos with another boy viral. He kept calling her repeatedly and threatening her, persuading her to meet him. He again called her on 15.01.2026 and pressurized her to meet, threatening to make her video viral. She shared the incident with her mother and came to report the matter.

**CRM-M-28798 of 2026(O&M)**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused Guraman Singh had since been enlarged on bail by this Court. Investigation of the case was complete. Petitioner was in custody for the last more than four months. Trial would take long to conclude and therefore, petitioner be enlarged on regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate and has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground of serious nature of allegations.

5. Investigation of the case is complete. Petitioner is in custody for the last more than 4 months. Truth of the allegations against him can be determined only on conclusion of trial. No prosecution witness has yet been examined and conclusion of trial is likely to take time. Though there is one criminal case registered against the petitioner, that alone cannot be a ground to refuse bail. Considering the period of incarceration but without commenting on merits, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**29.05.2026**

reema

|                           |   |     |
|---------------------------|---|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes |
| Whether reportable        | : | No  |