



**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5893-2026

Date of decision : 20.05.2026

Seema and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Harkirat Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Anmol Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to safeguard the lives of the petitioners by providing police protection to the petitioners and further direction to respondents No.3 & 4 not to interfere and not let respondents No.5 & 6 or anybody else to interfere in the life and liberty of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 is married to respondent No.5, who used to give beatings to her. He submits that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in-relationship. He submits that they have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 16.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 4-State only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ekom Pal Sagoo, A.A.G.,

Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

**CRWP-5893-2026****-2-**

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana Rural to decide the representation dated 16.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

20.05.2026*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No