



CR No.4256 of 2026 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

306-U

CR No.4256 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision:21.05.2026

JANAK RAJ AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. By way of present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court to decide the Execution Application No.712 of 2025 titled as '*Janak Raj and another vs. State of Haryana and anr.*' in an expeditious and time bound period.

[2]. Briefly stating, in view of Award dated 12.11.2011 passed by the learned Land Acquisition Collector and further enhanced by the learned Reference Court vide award dated 13.03.2018 in LAC Case No.26 of 2026, the petitioners filed Execution Petition No.712 of 2025 on 10.11.2025 before the learned Additional District Judge, Gurugram and since then the same is pending consideration, however, till date, the enhanced amount of compensation has not been disbursed to the petitioners.

[3]. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners being aggrieved of the non-disbursal of amount of compensation have filed the present revision petition for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court concerned to decide the execution application expeditiously, in a time bound manner.



CR No.4256 of 2026 (O&M)

- [4]. Notice of motion.
- [5]. On asking of the Court, Ms. Komal Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and also in view of the nature of order which this Court proposes to pass at this stage, there is no necessity of calling upon response from the respondents at this stage, as no order prejudicial to their interest is being passed.
- [6]. A perusal of record shows that execution application filed by the petitioner(s) on 10.11.2025 is pending for the adjudication.
- [7]. In **Rahul S. Shah vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others** reported as **(2021) 6 SCC 418** the Hon’ble Supreme Court held as follows:-

“That the executing Court must dispose of execution proceedings within 6 months from the date of filing which may be extended only by recording reasons in writing for such delay.”

- [8]. In view of above, the learned Additional District Judge, Gurugram (the Executing Court) is requested to dispose of the Executing Petition No.712 of 2025 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of next 03 months from today, as any further delay is going to cause serious prejudice to the rights of the petitioners/landowners.
- [9]. It is made clear that nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the execution proceedings.
- [10]. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s), if any shall also disposed of.

May 21, 2026
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No