



224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16172-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14th January 2026

DILBAG KUMAR SINGLA

.....Petitioner(s)

V/s.

UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. Baldev Singh Sodhi, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate and
 Mr. Harpreet Singh, Advocate,
 for the respondent-M.C., Chandigarh.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Replication to the Written Statement by respondents No. 2 to 4 filed in the Court today, is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel for the parties do not dispute the fact that the petitioner has already been offered an alternative vending site and that he was otherwise not working against the approved vending site.

3. Similar matters have already been decided by this Court in CWP-11605-2025 titled as **Sahil** Vs. **Union Territory of Chandigarh and Others** decided on 08.01.2026 and CWP-18809-2025 titled as **Tajib Alam** Vs. **Union Territory of Chandigarh and Others** decided on 12.01.2026. The controversy raised in the present Writ Petition is identical as such for the reasons recorded in CWP-11605-2025 & CWP-18809-2025, this Writ Petition is also disposed of in the same terms.

4. Pending application(s), if any, in this case, are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

January 14, 2026.
Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>