



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CWP-15398-2025

Date of Decision: 20.05.2026

MANOJ KUMAR CONTRACTOR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Bhardwaj, Advocate on behalf of
Mr. Varun Singh Dhanda, Advocate
For petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate on behalf of
Mr. R.K. Chauhan, Advocate
For respondent Nos. 2 to 7

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to release his payment with respect to work carried out by him for the respondent.

2. On 20.08.2025, the following order was passed: -

“Mr. Sukhdeep Singh Parmar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 to 7 and has filed memo of appearance on their behalf, which is taken on record.



Pursuant to the direction passed by this Court, counsel for respondents No.2 to 7 has produced a copy of speaking order bearing Endst. No.CG/CE/2025/21166 dated 19.08.2025, relevant extract of which is reproduced as under:

“As per the instructions issued by the office of Chief Secretary to Govt. of Haryana vide their memo no.04/06/2022 – 1 VII dated 14.07.2022 further amended on 06.12.2022 and 01.02.2023, it was directed that the cases wherein enhancement beyond 10% in the original work is made, such cases should be sanctioned by the Administrative Secretary of the Department concerned. The payments can only be made after proper justification and prior approval of Administrative Secretary. In case wherein approval of competent authority is not taken for enhancement, the responsibility may also be fixed.

Therefore, this case sent to the DULB for proper instructions and MCG will act as per the directions received from the DULB.

I hereby order it accordingly.”

As the matter has been referred to the Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, direction is issued to the Director to take a final decision within a period of four weeks.

List on 08.10.2025.”

3. The aforesaid order was followed by order dated 30.10.2025 which reads as: -

“In compliance of the directions passed by this Court, State counsel submits that a short reply by way of an affidavit of Chief Engineer-II, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1.

She has referred to the affidavit to submit that the case file has to be presented before the Sub-Committee of the



Cabinet, which is headed by the Chief Minister, Haryana for consideration and decision.

Copy of the short reply filed by State counsel be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

List on 01.05.2026.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Sub-Committee of the Cabinet headed by the Chief Minister has considered the matter and approved petitioner’s claim.

5. Faced with this, learned counsel for the respondents submit that competent authority would consider petitioner’s claim and admitted liability would be released within 4 months from today.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.05.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No