



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

242

CRWP-5822-2026

Decided on : 22.05.2026

PURAN CHAND

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS.RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Binat Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, UT, Chandigarh

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. On 16.05.2026, the following order was passed :-

“Present petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has been filed by petitioner Puran Chand, for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus, for release of the detenue, namely, Ritansh Sharma, aged 16 years, who is son of the petitioner, and stated to be in illegal and unlawful detention of respondent Nos. 2 and 3, at Police Station Daria, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel contends that on 12.05.2026, petitioner came to know that his minor son had been allegedly involved in a murder incident that had taken place at village Daria, Chandigarh. The petitioner has made efforts and tried his level best to trace out the whereabouts of his son and thereupon it has come to his knowledge that his minor son has been detained illegally by respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in connection with the aforementioned murder incident, and he has been kept in the Police Station Daria, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel also submits that detention of his son (detenue) by respondent Nos. 2 and 3, is in complete violation of the mandatory safeguards provided under law, and the petitioner has a strong apprehension that his minor son (detenue) may be subjected to coercion, physical or mental harassment, while in illegal custody of the respondent police officials.

Notice of motion.

In the meantime, the Registry of this Court is directed to appoint a Warrant Officer on payment of usual charges, who shall visit the place(s) of detention of the alleged detenue and if he is found in illegal detention, then to get him released immediately after recording his statement. The Warrant Officer shall submit his detailed report in that regard on or before the date fixed by this Court.



List on 22.05.2026.” ’

Report dated 18.05.2026 submitted by the Warrant Officer in a sealed cover is opened in the Open Court today and after going through the same, it is acknowledged that detainee could not be searched out at the disclosed places of the Police Station.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, since the criminal case has already been registered against detainee, he does not press the instant petition and thus, prays for withdrawal of the petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.05.2026

pry

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**

Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**