

CWP-15884-2026

2026:PHHC:079375



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

147

CWP-15884-2026

Date of Decision: **20.05.2026**

Chandramohan

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State Of Haryana And Other

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present : Mr. Harshit Nain, Advocate,
Ms. Shruti Rathor, Advocate and
Ms. Anisha Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly in the nature of *Certiorari* for quashing the impugned relieving order dated 31.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) issued by respondent No.2, whereby the services of the petitioner have been dispensed with, being

CWP-15884-2026

2026:PHHC:079375



arbitrary, illegal, unsustainable and contrary to the applicable policy framework. Further, a writ in the nature of *Mandamus* is sought directing the respondents to reinstate the petitioner in service with continuity of service and all consequential benefits, including release of salary and arrears, in terms of the order dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court in the cases of similarly situated employees. The petitioner also prays for issuance of directions to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 31.03.2026 (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioner in a time-bound manner, strictly in accordance with law and the governing statutory and policy framework.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation, Annexure P-7, of the petitioner is decided by the respondents by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate for Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate on behalf of respondent No.2, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to the respondents for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation, Annexure P-7, of the petitioner and pass a speaking order,

CWP-15884-2026

2026:PHHC:079375



after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 02 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondent.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

20.05.2026
parul verma

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No