

2026:PHHC:079419



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CWP-15734-2026

Date of decision: May 20, 2026

GAJANAND

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Laxman Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing respondent no.2 to regularise the services of the petitioner on the post of Sweeper with effect from 01.11.2000 instead of 18.12.2015, as the similarly situated employee who was appointed on the same date as the petitioner, has also been regularised with effect 01.11.2000.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to decide his pending legal notice, dated 10.04.2026, Annexure P-10, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that the pending legal notice, dated 10.04.2026, Annexure P-10, will be decided by respondent no.2/Director,

Secondary Education, taking into account the judgment dated 24.09.2024, rendered by this Court in CWP-6884-2024 titled *Badama Devi v. State of Haryana and others*, as also the office order passed in compliance thereto dated 02.12.2024, Annexure P-9, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of six months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

May 20, 2026

Jaspreet Kaur

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)

JUDGE

✓

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*