



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRWP-5970-2026
Date of decision: 21.05.2026

DHEERAJ KUMAR AND ANOTHERPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Mohinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioners namely Dheeraj Kumar and Riya have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that the petitioners are major and are staying together in a live in relationship. However, their relationship is not acceptable to the private respondents and as a result thereof, the private respondents are threatening the petitioners. A representation dated 04.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) against the threat extended at the hands of the private respondents already stands submitted by the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. The petitioners

apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of them being implicated in a false case.

3. Learned Counsel further points out that inadvertently owing to clerical mistake the particulars of the respondent No.3 are wrongly mentioned in the memo of parties. Counsel has brought on record correct memo of parties in the Court today.

4. Prayer is accepted. Correct memo of parties is taken on record and registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

5. Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

6. Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

7. Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

8. As such, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Police Station City Patran, District Patiala to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation 04.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

9. However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

10. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.3-

Station House Officer, Police Station City Patran, District Patiala for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

MAY 21, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No