





orders dated 26.11.2025/27.11.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2. Another prayer has been made to stay the recovery from the petitioner during the pendency of writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the statutory appeal dated 17.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner. He further prays that till final disposal of the aforesaid statutory appeal, recovery from the petitioner may be stayed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate, appearing on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-PSPCL and submits that he has no objection, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to hear and decide the statutory appeal dated 17.04.2026 (Annexure P-2), in a time bound manner.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.1 is directed to hear and decide the statutory appeal dated 17.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner and pass a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Since the statutory appeal of the petitioner is still pending and yet to be decided, it would be in the interest of justice that no further recovery will



be effected from the petitioner till the disposal of the appeal.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

20.05.2026
parul verma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No