



CRM-M-28535-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269

CRM-M-28535-2026

Decided on :19.05.2026

Sarwan Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Karnail Singh Ahhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 0222 dated 14.08.2020, registered under Sections 22, 25, 29 and 61 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station Division-A, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

2. Petitioner has also sought interim bail on the ground that his father expired on 11.05.2026 and he wishes to attend the bhog ceremony. It is noticed that learned Special Judge, Amritsar, while declining the interim relief vide order dated 14.05.2026, had granted liberty to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for attending the bhog ceremony under police security.

3. Learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, submits that present case involves recovery of 66,000 tablets containing Tramadol

**CRM-M-28535-2026****2**

Hydrochloride from the petitioner. It is further submitted that petitioner is already involved in four other cases under the provisions of the NDPS Act, out of which he stands convicted in one case. It is also informed that out of four accused persons, two have already absconded and have been declared proclaimed offenders by the learned Trial Court.

4. Having considered the submissions advanced and perused the material on record, this Court finds no infirmity, illegality or perversity in the order dated 14.05.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, Amritsar, declining interim bail and granting liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy for attending the bhog ceremony under police security.

5. In view of the gravity of allegations, antecedents of the petitioner, and the fact that co-accused have absconded, this Court does not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order. Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.

6. However, it is clarified that in case petitioner so desires, he may move an appropriate application before the jail authorities seeking permission to attend the bhog ceremony of his father under police custody, in accordance with rules and applicable instructions.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

19.05.2026***Rashmi***

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*