



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-16071-2026(O&M)  
Date of decision: 21.05.2026**

Ravinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Nikhil Thamman, Advocate, and  
Ms. Sunaina, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Jasvir Kaur, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to constitute a high level Special Investigating Team to inquire into the allegation of tampering of attendance record, forgery, unauthorised absence from duty, dereliction of official duties and misuse of official position by respondent No.6 during posting at Municipal Council Nurmahal, Distt. Jalandhar and to take departmental action. He is also seeking direction to respondent No.2 to decide his legal notice dated 17.02.2026.

2. The petitioner is a resident of Municipal Council Nurmahal, Distt. Jalandhar. The Nagar Panchayat, Bilga passed resolution dated 21.02.2019 alleging absence of respondent No.6, investigation of works executed by respondent No.6 and to replace him with another officer. The petitioner along with former councillors of Nagar Panchayat, Bilga preferred



representation dated 04.10.2023 to respondent No.2 seeking action taken report on the resolution dated 21.02.2019 passed against respondent No.6 but it has not been addressed till date. The petitioner preferred representation dated 28.06.2024 to respondent Nos. 1 to 5 regarding tampering of attendance register by respondent No.6 during his posting in Municipal Council, Nurmahal. Respondent No.4 pursuant to representation dated 28.06.2024 sought clarification from respondent No.6, however, was consigned to record without inquiry. Petitioner preferred a spate of representations as well served legal notice dated 17.02.2026 upon the respondents seeking independent inquiry into his complaints but the same have not been addressed till date.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.5 as well as State counsel submits that matter was inquired by respondent No.4-Additional Deputy Commissioner (Urban Development), Jalandhar. No infirmity in the conduct of respondent No.6 was found. All the allegations were found baseless, thus, the matter was closed.

4. In the wake of statements made by learned counsel for respondent No.5 and State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**( JAGMOHAN BANSAL )**  
**JUDGE**

**May 21, 2026**

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No