



CWP-14408-2018 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(243)

CWP-14408-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 07.04.2026

Avinash Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Jaivir S. Chandail, Addl. Standing Counsel and
Ms. Aman Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2-U.T. Chandigarh.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order dated 11.01.2017 (Annexure P-1), whereby, the petitioner has been charged for penal rent of Rs.12,27,684/-, for the period from 27.08.2016 to 10.01.2017, in respect of the Govt. accommodation allotted to him.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the prayer made in the instant writ petition has become infructuous, as the petitioner has deposited the penal rent on 10.06.2021, and therefore, no cause of action survives in the instant writ petition.

3. In view of the above statement as suffered by learned counsel for the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not



wish to press the instant petition. However, he submitted that in case, the statement, made by learned counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect, liberty may be afforded to petitioner, to move an apt application for revival of the instant *lis*.

4. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as having been rendered infructuous**. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner, to seek revival of the instant writ petition, in case, cause of action still survives.

5. Pending applications, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 07, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No