



127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35952-2022 (O&M)
CRM-M-35960-2022 (O&M)
CRM-M-38085-2022 (O&M)
CRM-M-41348-2022 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 20.05.2026

SAGAR SINGH**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Petition, i.e. CRM-M-35952-2022 has been moved for quashing of the complaint No.NACT-151-2017 titled as 'UCO Bank vs. Sagar Singh' dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-3), and the summoning order dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kurukshetra, and also to quash the subsequent proceedings in the complaint.

Petition, i.e. CRM-M-35960-2022 has been moved for quashing of the complaint No.NACT-152-2017 titled as 'UCO Bank vs. Sagar Singh' dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-3), and the summoning order dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kurukshetra, and also to quash the subsequent proceedings in the complaint.

CRM-M-35952-2022 (O&M)
and 3 other connected cases

-2-

Petition, i.e. CRM-M-38085-2022 has been moved for quashing of the complaint No.NACT-153-2017 titled as ‘UCO Bank vs. Sagar Singh’ dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-3), and the summoning order dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kurukshetra, and also to quash the subsequent proceedings in the complaint.

Petition, i.e. CRM-M-41348-2022 has been moved for quashing of the complaint No.NACT-150-2017 titled as ‘UCO Bank vs. Sagar Singh’ dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-3), and the summoning order dated 02.03.2017 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kurukshetra, and also to quash the subsequent proceedings in the complaint.

2. Today, on the very outset, counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that since, respondent No.2/complainant has already withdrawn the complaint filed by him before the trial Court, all the aforementioned four petitions can be disposed of, as the same having been rendered infructuous.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. Let copy of this order be forwarded to the trial Court, for necessary information.

4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

20.05.2026
Lavisha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No