



TA-824-2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

TA-824-2023

Date of Decision: 13.02.2026

AMIT KUMAR ALIAS AMIT NARANG

....Applicant

Versus

PARUL GROVER

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Vaibhav Prashar, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

The applicant-husband has filed the present application for seeking transfer of the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. HMA/271/2021, titled '*Parul Grover Vs. Amit Kumar*', filed by the respondent-wife, pending in the Family Court, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri and he seeks transfer of the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Sirsa.

In pursuance of notice issued, the respondent made appearance through counsel and filed reply.

Counsel for the parties heard.



At the very outset, it is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties to the lis, had taken place on 25.10.2025, but no child was born from the said wedlock. However, on account of the matrimonial dispute, the parties are residing separate. Even, amicable settlement was earlier reached between them and they had filed the petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act. Also, the statements of first motion were recorded. Besides the same, a sum of Rs.7,50,000/- was paid by the applicant, to the respondent/wife. Thereafter, the respondent retraced from the compromise and had withdrawn the petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act. She has also not returned the amount of Rs.7,50,000/-, as handed over to her, at the time of recording of first statements.

Besides the aforesaid, it is submitted that there is threat to the life of the applicant/husband, at the behest of the brother of the respondent. Earlier also, he had attacked the applicant and his family, relating to which the mother of the applicant had filed complaint before the police, copy whereof is Annexure P-4. However, no action has been taken on the said application. Besides the same, counsel has submitted that he is the sole bread-earner of his family and he has also to look after his mother. Furthermore, it is stated that there is close relative of the applicant, who is practising as 'Advocate' in the Courts at Yamuna Nagar, but the name of the said relative has not been mentioned in the application. Moreover, it is submitted that the applicant is residing at Noida and not at Yamuna Nagar, where the divorce petition has been filed.



On the other hand, counsel for the respondent, while making reference to the reply, submits that the respondent is residing at Yamuna Nagar. Even though, she was earlier working at Noida, but however, now she is working from home. All other submissions made by the counsel for the applicant/husband, have been denied. In fact, it is submitted that the petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, could not fructify, on account of conduct of the applicant, who himself backed out from the amicable settlement. Also, the distance between Sirsa and Yamuna Nagar, is stated to be about 300 kms. and on this account, it is submitted that it shall be difficult for the respondent also to pursue the divorce petition, in case the transfer application is accepted.

In view of the submissions aforesaid, more particularly, taking into consideration the fact of the respondent working at Noida, which spells about her to be a confident woman and considering the distance between the two places, where the divorce petition is pending and where it is sought to be transferred, an option was given by the Court, about transfer of the divorce petition to any other place. Thereupon, counsel for the applicant/husband, as well as counsel for respondent/wife had agreed for transfer of the divorce petition to the Courts at Karnal.

In view of the aforesaid fact situation and considering the convenience of the respondent also and in the fitness of circumstances, the transfer application is partly allowed and the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. HMA/271/2021, titled '*Parul Grover Vs. Amit Kumar*', filed by the respondent-wife, stands transferred from the Family



TA-824-2023

Court, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Karnal. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court, Yamuna Nagar, to the District and Sessions Judge, Karnal.

Learned District and Sessions Judge, Karnal, shall assign the said petition to the Family Court, Karnal. Even, the parties are directed to appear before the Family Court, Karnal, within a period of one month from today onwards.

13.02.2026
Himanshu Vats

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No