

2026:PHHC:008695



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

CRM-M-29007-2025
Date of Decision: 21.01.2026

AMARJEET SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present: Mr. Viren Sibal and Mr. Shayon Sen,
Advocates for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.117 dated 03.11.2024 under Sections 103, 115(2), 118(1) 3(5), 190, 351(3) of BNS registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner alongwith his co-accused caused serious injuries to the complainant and his father, as a result of which, the father of complainant succumbed to his injuries.

Learned arguing counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the allegations levelled against the petitioner are totally vague and baseless.

Notice of motion.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and opposes the petition on the ground that the petitioner has played an active role in the commission of alleged offence. He further submits that as per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner is stated to have caught hold of the deceased while the co-accused had given stab injuries to the deceased. It is, however, stated that the co-accused has died in police custody and since a specific role has been attributed to the petitioner, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. Hence, he prays for dismissal of the present petition.

Heard.

It is well settled law that while considering the petition for bail, the Court need not go into the merits of the case and only the *prima facie* allegations are to be seen. As per case of the prosecution, the petitioner has certainly played an active role in committing the alleged offence and taking into account the gravity of the offence as well as the role attributed to the petitioner, no ground is made out to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

JANUARY 21, 2026.

Rajender

**(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No