



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

150

CWP-15598-2026

Date of decision : 18.05.2026

Kamaljeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Shivam Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and grant the petitioner posting/transfer as Lecturer in Biology under the exempted category as per Public Notice dated 12.01.2026 (Annexure P-8), issued by respondent No.2, whereby applications for choice posting were invited and the claim of the petitioner was approved online by the DDO for her posting at Govt. Senior Secondary School (Girls), Sohana, Mohali, which is lying vacant w.e.f. 30.06.2025, on account of the fact that she is suffering from 82% permanent disability (Multiple Sclerosis with Paraplegia) and unable to walk (wheelchair bound), dependent upon her husband to commute to and from her residence to work place and/or to consider and grant her choice posting while considering her claim as per Section 20 of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 and the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Net Ram Yadav Vs. State of Rajasthan : 2022 SCC Online SC 1022*.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated nil (Annexure P-7) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petitioner may be given liberty to supplement the abovesaid representation and appropriate directions are



CWP-15598-2026

-2-

issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to supplement the representation dated nil (Annexure P-7) within a period of one week from today and respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the said representation expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 06 weeks from today. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

18.05.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No