



CRWP-5772-2026

-1-

138

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5772-2026

Date of Decision: 15.05.2026

SEEMA RANI AND ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for a issuance of an appropriate writ order or directions to official respondents in the nature of mandamus to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from private respondent.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only at this stage.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asstt AG Punjab



CRWP-5772-2026

-2-

accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

15.05.2026

renu

**(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No